# Project matching forms will be sent out after class today

- Teams will be made up of 4 people
- You can choose one other person to work with
- Matching will be done based on project preference

# 11: Concurrency

#### A preview: periodic tasks

*n* tasks each with a given period and worst case execution time (for now assume same period)



### What's the problem with this?

blueTask { ... do stuff; ... pet watchdog; } purpleTask { ... do stuff; ... pet watchdog; } goldTask { ... do stuff; ... pet watchdog; }



#### **Blocking vs. non-blocking functions**

Simplest task	Blocking function:	Non-blocking function:	
scheduler:	<pre>void goldTask() {</pre>	<pre>void goldTask() {</pre>	
void loop() {	res = 0;	res = serverTask();	
<pre>blueTask();</pre>	while (! res) {	if (res) {	
<pre>purpleTask();</pre>	<pre>res = serverTask();</pre>	// compute on res	
goldTask();	} // compute on res	}	
}	}		

#### **Blocking vs. non-blocking functions**

# Simplest task scheduler:

void loop() {
gets back here
blueTask();
but gets back here
blueTask pets watchdog
purpleTask();
goldTask();

#### Blocking function:

void goldTask() {

int res = 0;

res = serverSend();

}

... // compute on res

Non-blocking function:

void goldTask() {

int res = serverSend();

if (res) {

... // compute on res

petWatchdog();
Never reaches here
}
Watchdog isn't pet:
hang successfully detected
server hang is never detected!

5



#### How would you pet the watchdog for a multitasked system?



# Challenge mode



#### **Time and date tales**

#### **Imperative programs**

(using book definition)

Computation is expressed as a sequence of operations

Each step changes the state of memory on the machine

### Threads

Individual imperative programs that run concurrently and share a memory space

On single-CPU systems, technically only one thread is executing at a given time, but multiple may be "active" (pending computation)





#### What example of thread-like behavior have we seen so far in this class?

### Interrupts as threads



### Interrupt's view of execution



#### Main process' view of execution

#### **Before interrupt**

#### After interrupt









What are the limitations of having interrupts as the only source of concurrency in embedded programming?

## **Cyclic Execution**

Threading-like behavior without library/os/scheduler "DIY concurrency"

Each task keeps track of the state it needs

```
void loop() {
   poll_inputs();
   task1();
   task2();
   task3();
```



# Pros/cons to cyclic execution?



#### **Multi-rate cyclic execution**

Or even...

. . .

void loop() {

void loop() {
 poll\_inputs();
 task1();
 poll\_inputs();
 task2();
 poll\_inputs();
 task3();
}

poll\_inputs(); task1\_step1(); poll\_inputs(); task1\_step2(); poll\_inputs(); task2\_step1(); poll\_inputs(); task3\_step1();

### **Cyclic Execution timing analysis**

```
void loop() {
  poll_inputs();
  task1();
  task2();
  task3();
Worst-case time:
```

 $T_{loop} = T_{poll\_inputs} + T_{task1} + T_{task2} + T_{task3}$ (as long as worst-case time of tasks is known)

#### **Timing analysis + interrupts**

void loop() {
 task1();
 task2();
 task3();
}

Assume  $T_{task1} + T_{task2} + T_{task3} = 200 \text{ ms}$ Assume interrupt takes 2 ms and happens at most every 20 ms Worst case execution time of loop + interrupts = ?

# **Other approaches**

Time it dynamically

Using special debug registers

Approximate with timer/counter

#### **Issues**?

Hybrid (dynamically measure short paths and statically add it up)

Many tools on the market do this

### **Threads and scheduling**

Instead of this
void loop() {
 task1();
 task2();
 task3();
}

CPU schedules each task as its own thread

Task 1	Task 2	Task 1	Task 3	
Execution time				

### More general multithreading

- OS exposes an API for control (...what OS?!)
- Library (like pthreads in C) takes care of things

pthread\_create(&threads[i], NULL, perform\_work, &thread\_args[i]);
Scheduler schedules threads

More open to control/data pitfalls

For now: we are talking about single-processor systems